IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA STATESVILLE DIVISION CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:23-CV-165-KDB-DCK

MARK MITCHELL,)
Plaintiff,)
v.	ORDER
UNIVERSAL PROTECTION SERVICE, LLC and GOOGLE LLC,))
Defendants.)
	. <i>)</i>

THIS MATTER IS BEFORE THE COURT on Defendants' "...Motion To Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint" (Document No. 11) filed November 27, 2023. This motion has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), and immediate review is appropriate. Having carefully considered the motion, the record, and applicable authority, the undersigned will direct that the pending motion to dismiss be <u>denied</u> as moot.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15 applies to the amendment of pleadings and allows a party to amend once as a matter of course within 21 days after serving, or "if the pleading is one to which a responsive pleading is required, 21 days after service of a responsive pleading or 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f), whichever is earlier." Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(1). Rule 15 further provides:

(2) Other Amendments. In all other cases, a party may amend its pleading only with the opposing party's written consent or the court's leave. The court should freely give leave when justice so requires.

Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(2).

DISCUSSION

Plaintiff timely-filed an Amended Complaint (Document No. 20) which supersedes the

original Complaint. See (Document No. 19). As such, the undersigned will direct that Defendants'

"...Motion To Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint" (Document No. 11) be denied as moot.

It is well settled that a timely-filed amended pleading supersedes the original pleading, and

that motions directed at superseded pleadings may be denied as moot. Young v. City of Mount

Ranier, 238 F.3d 567, 573 (4th Cir. 2001) ("The general rule ... is that an amended pleading

supersedes the original pleading, rendering the original pleading of no effect."); see also, Fawzy

v. Wauguiez Boats SNC, 873 F.3d 451, 455 (4th Cir. 2017) ("Because a properly filed amended

complaint supersedes the original one and becomes the operative complaint in the case, it renders

the original complaint 'of no effect.""); Colin v. Marconi Commerce Systems Employees'

Retirement Plan, 335 F.Supp.2d 590, 614 (M.D.N.C. 2004) ("Earlier motions made by Defendants

were filed prior to and have been rendered moot by Plaintiffs' filing of the Second Amended

Complaint"); Brown v. Sikora and Associates, Inc., 311 Fed.Appx. 568, 572 (4th Cir. Apr. 16,

2008); and Atlantic Skanska, Inc. v. City of Charlotte, 3:07-CV-266-FDW, 2007 WL 3224985 at

*4 (W.D.N.C. Oct. 30, 2007).

To the extent Defendants contend the Amended Complaint is deficient, this Order is

without prejudice to Defendants filing a renewed motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Defendants' "...Motion To Dismiss Plaintiff's

Complaint" (Document No. 11) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

SO ORDERED.

Signed: December 19, 2023